Federal Transit Administration’s New Starts Process

Yellow Line Extension Alternatives Analysis Study

April 2009
Schedule for Tonight’s Meeting

- **Structure of the meeting**
- **Questions and answers process**
  - Submit your comments in writing on comment cards
  - Comments and questions will be grouped and answered by topic
  - All comments and questions will be addressed on CTA’s website - [www.transitchicago.com](http://www.transitchicago.com)
  - An interpreter for the hearing impaired is available this evening
Tonight’s Speakers

• Darud Akbar – Moderator
  – Chicago Transit Authority

• Jeffrey Busby – Strategic Planning Manager
  – Chicago Transit Authority

• Art Peterson – Yellow Line Study Area Manager
  – Parsons Brinckerhoff
Outline of the Presentation

- Discuss Status of Yellow Line Extension Alternatives Analysis Study
  - New Starts Overview
  - Screen 1 Findings
- Screen 2 Preliminary Findings
- Public Involvement Process
Status of Study
FTA’s Required New Starts Process

1. Concept Development
2. Alternatives Analysis Study
   - Preliminary Engineering
   - Environmental Impact Statement
3. Final Design
4. Construction
5. Operation
Alternatives Analysis (AA) Studies

- FTA Requirement for federal funding for transit expansion (New Starts)
- Identifies transit opportunities and ensures all practical solutions are considered
- Ensures planning is consistent among all New Starts projects throughout the country
- Provides opportunity to gather information and receive public input
- Identifies Locally Preferred Alternative
FTA Evaluation Process

The Purpose and Need is first defined, the evaluation criteria are applied, and options within the Universe of Alternatives are eliminated until, at the end of the process, there is a Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA).

Universe of Alternatives

Application of Evaluation Criteria

Screen 1
Public Input

Screen 2
Public Input

Alternatives Analysis Process

LPA
Purpose and Need

- Enhance access to the concentration of institutional, employment and retail activity in the Old Orchard Road area
- Leverage existing transit infrastructure to provide locally oriented rapid transit service
- Support local land use and development goals
- Alleviate traffic congestion due to expected growth in Skokie population and employment
Screen 1 Process

1. Define the Universe of Alternatives
2. Evaluate all Potential Technologies
3. Evaluate all Potential Alignments (Corridors and Profiles)
4. Evaluate all Potential Combinations of Technological and Alignment Alternatives
5. Advance Strongest Combinations to Screen 2
Universe of Alternatives - Technologies

TECHNOLOGIES

- Automated Guideway/Monorail
- Bus Rapid Transit
- Commuter Bus
- Commuter Rail
- Heavy Rail Transit
- High Speed Rail
- Light Rail Transit
- Local Bus
- MagLev
- Personal Rapid Transit
- Streetcar
Universe of Alternatives - Profiles

- Elevated
- At-Grade
- Trench
- Underground
Corridors Considered in the AA Study

Edens Expressway
Union Pacific Railroad
Gross Point Road / Skokie Boulevard
Skokie Boulevard
Screen 1 Evaluation Process
Preliminary Findings

Heavy Rail Transit
- UP Railroad Corridor

Bus Rapid Transit
- UP Railroad Corridor
- Combined Gross Point Road / Skokie Boulevard Corridor

At Grade
- Elevated
- Trench

At Grade
Screen 1 Public Involvement Process

- Public Meeting on August 26, 2008 at National-Louis University
- More than 50 people attended the public meeting
- Met with stakeholders and elected officials
- 138 comments submitted and answered
Screen 2

Analysis
Screen 2 Process

• **Step 1 – Alternatives Definition**
  – Conceptual Alignment Refinement
  – Operating Plans

• **Step 2 – Detailed Evaluation**
  – Physical Constraints
  – Social & Economic Factors
  – Environmental Factors
  – Transportation Factors
  – Capital Cost Comparison
  – Operating and Maintenance (O&M) Cost Comparison
  – Ridership Potential
Alternatives Definition

- No Build Alternative
- Transportation System Management (TSM) Alternative
  - Gross Point Rd./Skokie Blvd. Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) combined with TSM Alternative
- Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) via UPRR at-grade
- Heavy Rail Transit (HRT) Extension via UPRR elevated and trench
  - At-Grade not recommended
Study Area

Screen 1

Yellow Line Extension Alternatives Analysis Study
No Build Alternative

- Existing CTA heavy rail transit service terminating at the Dempster station
- Existing CTA and Pace bus service
**TSM Alternative**

- Gross Point Rd./Skokie Blvd. BRT redefined to be part of TSM Alternative
- Express bus between CTA Dempster station and Old Orchard Shopping Center and Cook Co. Courthouse
  - No exclusive lanes
  - Transit signal priority
BRT Alternative – UPRR

- New BRT station on north side of Dempster St.
- Two-lane exclusive BRT roadway
- Traffic signals with transit signal priority at cross-streets
- BRT station at UPRR and Old Orchard Rd.
- Continues to Old Orchard Shopping Center in mixed traffic
HRT Alternative – UPRR

- Single-track operation
- Elevated or trench profile with new Dempster station
- West Option remains on UPRR with new station south of Old Orchard Rd.
- East Option goes on the east side of the Edens Expressway with new station south of Old Orchard Rd.
Screen 2

BRT/HRT Alternatives – UPRR Section

Gross Point Rd. looking North

Church St. looking North
Yellow Line Extension Alternatives Analysis Study

HRT Elevated Typical Section
HRT Alternative - Old Orchard Road Station Example
Step 2 – Detailed Evaluation

• Evaluation Factors
  – Physical Constraints
    • Right-of-Way Requirements
  – Social & Economic Factors
    • Demographics and Employment
  – Environmental Factors
    • Noise, Visual, Natural and Cultural Resources
  – Transportation Factors
    • Travel Time, Transit Connectivity and Traffic
  – Capital Cost
  – Operating & Maintenance Cost
  – Ridership Potential
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>TSM</th>
<th>BRT</th>
<th>HRT UPRR</th>
<th>West Option</th>
<th>East Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Elevated</td>
<td>Elevated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trench</td>
<td>Trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Costs</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O&amp;M Costs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ridership</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPA Recommend</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor</td>
<td>TSM</td>
<td>BRT</td>
<td>HRT UPRR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>West Option</td>
<td>East Option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Elevated</td>
<td>Trench</td>
<td>Elevated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Time from Old Orchard Mall to Howard (minutes)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Cost (YOE)</td>
<td>$40M</td>
<td>$90M</td>
<td>$340M</td>
<td>$420M</td>
<td>$270M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O&amp;M Cost per Year</td>
<td>$1.6M</td>
<td>$1.4M</td>
<td>$1.9M</td>
<td>$2.1M</td>
<td>$1.9M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ridership per Year</td>
<td>0.4M</td>
<td>0.3M</td>
<td>2M</td>
<td>2M</td>
<td>2M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPA Recommend</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Screen 2 Evaluation – Preliminary Findings

- Locally Preferred Alternative Preliminary Recommendation

UPRR Heavy Rail Transit Extension East Option Elevated
Next Steps
Next Steps

- Incorporate public comments
- Confirm Screen 2 preliminary findings for LPA and conclude Alternatives Analysis study
- Review findings with FTA
- CTA Board to approve LPA
- Ongoing public involvement
  - Sign-in cards will be used to create a contact list to send notices and updates
  - Project updates on CTA web site - www.transitchicago.com
Questions and Comments

- CTA representatives are available to answer additional questions

- Written comments and questions accepted through May 14, 2009 (two weeks from today)

  Mr. Darud Akbar  
  Chicago Transit Authority  
  Government and Community Relations  
  P.O. Box 7567  
  Chicago, IL 60680-7567  
  dakbar@transitchicago.com  
  CTA Customer Service: 1-888-YOUR-CTA  
  TTY: 1-888-CTA-TTY1
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