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Introduction

- Risk Assessment methodology used was built upon Sarbanes-Oxley internal control assessments performed at publicly traded companies.
- SoxLite at the CTA resulted from concerns of departmental reorganizations and downsizing of staff.
- Initiative is best-in-class for governmental agencies.
- CTA Project sponsors:
  - VP Comptroller
  - Inspector General
- Weekly project oversight meetings held with Project Sponsors and Finance and OIG staff.
- Objective of the project was to:
  - Document approximately 50 key processes and related controls,
  - Identify weaknesses and opportunities for improvement,
  - Develop recommendations and monitor remediation, and
  - Set up a repeatable process for ongoing maintenance of documentation.
Documentation and Design Approach

- Interview each of the key process owners and staff
- Develop Process Flowcharts and Control Narratives
- Corroborate matrix mapping Controls to Risks
- Identify Key Controls
  - Who performs the control,
  - When they perform the control (daily, weekly, monthly, quarterly, annual),
  - How they perform the control (specifies),
  - Where and how they evidence performance (specifies), and
  - What’s the control operation (automated or manual).
- Disseminate Internal Control Weaknesses
- Formulate Remediation and Best Practice Recommendations
Assessment of Internal Control Design Weaknesses

- The Internal Control Design Weaknesses identified were evaluated and prioritized based upon potential operational or financial impact and likelihood of the risk:
  - High (22) - operational or financial impact that seriously impairs ability to achieve business objectives.
  - Moderate (54) - operational or financial impact that would significantly affect, but not seriously impair ability to achieve business objectives.
  - Low (96) - operational or financial impact that would not significantly affect ability to achieve business objectives.

- Based on the CTA risk assessment, the overall risk is low for financial processes and is moderate for operational processes.
## Design Control Weakness Analysis

These process level risk takes into consideration the existing controls for each process as well as the associated control weaknesses. Fourteen CTA areas were rated with high control weaknesses, thirteen with moderate and twenty-one with low control weaknesses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Cycle</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Law</td>
<td>Workers Compensation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td>Database of Fare Revenue Data</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Claims Management</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Mod</td>
<td></td>
<td>Software Acceptance Testing</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>Service Planning &amp; Scheduling</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td>Firmware Testing</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Marketing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td>Maintenance of Fare Revenue Equipment</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td>ERP Security</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations</td>
<td>Workforce Mgmt - Rail Operations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td>Network Change Control</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Workforce Mgmt - Bus Operations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oversight of SDI</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Workforce Mgmt - Rail Maintenance</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td>Financial Close Process</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Workforce Mgmt - Bus Maintenance</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accounts Receivable</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maintenance Management System</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accounts Payable</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power and Way</td>
<td>Workforce Mgmt &amp; Timekeeping</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td>Grants</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities</td>
<td>Workforce Mgmt &amp; Timekeeping</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td>Property</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Center</td>
<td>Control Center</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Mod</td>
<td></td>
<td>Payroll</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td>Investments/Cash Management</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchasing &amp; Warehousing</td>
<td>Procurement Process</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fare Collections</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inventory Management</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td>Currency Counting</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vendor Management</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mod</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fare Media Production</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Construction Contract Payments</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fare Media Distribution</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Resources</td>
<td>Benefits Management</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Mod</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fuel Hedging</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Payrate changes/Compensation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chicago Card database management</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Personnel Evaluations</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td>Credit Card Processing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recruitment</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td>Construction Oversight</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exempt Employees Discipline</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td>Operation Safety Inspections</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CTA Policies &amp; Procedures</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Mod</td>
<td></td>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total High (H) | 22 | 13% |
| Total Moderate (M) | 54 | 31% |
| Total Low (L) | 96 | 56% |
| Total       | 172 | 100% |
Key Issues Identified

- Inventory
- Planning
- Workforce Management and Timekeeping
- Marketing
- Credit Card Processing
- Real Estate
- Service Planning and Scheduling
- Maintenance Management Information Systems
- Technology
CTA Practical Control Environment Plan

**Holistic Program**
- All processes inclusive
- Cross-Departmental Controls
- Enterprise Risk Management
- Standardize processes
- Entity Level Controls

**Evaluate Operating Effectiveness**
- Periodic testing
- Self assessments
- Prioritize controls
- Expand to other processes

**Document & Evaluate Process Design**
- Process Documentation
- Risk and Control Matrices
- Design Evaluation & Remediation
- Assess and prioritize risk

**Value of Program**

- **Short Term (1 Yr)**
- **Long Term (2-3 Yrs)**

**Time**

**Strategic Processes**

**Management Processes**

**Operation Processes**

**Financial Processes**
CTA Key Take-Aways

- Inherent weaknesses at the CTA were identified.
- Strengthened internal control structure.
- Internal control annual revalidation by the departments are in place.
- Increased internal control awareness throughout the organization.
Crowe Summary

• Foundation for internal controls has been set.

• Tone-at-the-Top is important toward moving up the curve.

• CTA’s control structure maturity mirrored that of other large complex organizations.
  • CTA is ahead of the curve compared to other governmental organizations, but there is considerable room for improvement compared to public companies.
  • Inherent silo mentality poses a challenge to strengthening overall control environment.

• Process owners in took the opportunity to address existing issues and change post inherited practices.

• A formal process has been set up for each department to monitor and update their process controls annually.

• OIG and Finance will continue monitoring the remediation of internal control weaknesses.

• Recommendation by CTA Sponsors to continue with Phase II – Expanding departments covered and testing of controls.